



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,394	07/24/2006	James L. Miller	US040109US	1390

28159 7590 08/10/2009
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

EXAMINER

STICE, PAULA J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3766

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/10/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/597,394	Applicant(s) MILLER, JAMES L.	
	Examiner PAULA J. STICE	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

(1) Paula J. Stice.

(2) Brinton Yorks Jr. .

Status of Application: _____

(3) Carl Layno.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 August 2008

Time: 3:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Miller (US Patent Publication 2003/0191501 A1) and Wang et al. (US Patent Publication 2002/0058934 A1)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Potentially amending the claims to add claim 7 (a dependent claim) to claims 1 and 21. Mr.. Yorks agreed to discuss the proposed changes with his client.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carl H. Layno/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)